

1 CHRISTOPHER M. HOGUE, WSBA #48041  
Hogue Law Firm  
2 905 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 402  
Spokane WA 99201  
3 Tel: (509) 934-1998  
Email: chris@spokaneadvocate.com  
4 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*

5  
6  
7 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

8 PAUL GANCARZ, an individual; ) Case No. 2:23-cv-1113  
9 DANIEL TURETCHI, an individual; )  
COLTON BROWN, an individual; ) **PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR**  
10 JAMES JOHNSON and AMELIA ) **ALTERNATIVE SERVICE, TO**  
JOHNSON, individually and husband ) **AMEND CASE CAPTION, AND TO**  
and wife, ) **EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE**  
11 ) **PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)**  
Plaintiffs, )  
12 )  
vs. ) **Hearing Date: February 14, 2024**  
13 )  
DAVID ALAN CAPITO II, aka ) **Without Oral Argument**  
14 VYACHESLAV ARKANGELSKIY, )  
an individual, )  
15 )  
Defendant. )  
16

17 //

18 //

19 **PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE**  
**SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,**  
20 **AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE**  
**PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)**

I. FACTS

Upon the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiffs’ counsel was conducting their own investigation into the potential Washington locations for Defendant David Alan Capito II, aka Vyacheslav Arkangelskiy (“Capito”). ECF No. 7, *Decl. Hogue* ¶ 2. Plaintiffs had concerns that Mr. Capito would be difficult to track down due to this Arkangelskiy name change and the potential for evading service based upon the Complaint allegations of his use of false identities. *Id.* ¶ 3. After conducting preliminary investigations into Mr. Capito’s whereabouts, Plaintiffs had a summons issued and retained a process service company. *Id.* ¶ 4.

Plaintiffs also retained the process service company to do its own skip trace investigation into the location of Defendant Capito. *Decl. Hogue* ¶ 5. The first investigation into a potential last known address in Tumwater, Washington for Mr. Capito returned as a non-address for him. *Id.* ¶ 6. The next investigation into a potential last known address in Yelm, Washington for Mr. Capito was also met with a return of non-service. *Id.* ¶ 7. The process server was unable to serve Mr. Capito at the Yelm, Washington address, as it appears that Mr. Capito is no longer living at that address. *Id.* ¶ 8, Ex. A.

PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION, AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

1 On November 27, 2023, this Court granted Plaintiffs’ request to extend time  
2 to serve or seek leave for alternative service on Defendant Capito until February  
3 21, 2024. ECF No. 8. In November 2023, Plaintiffs engaged the services of a  
4 second process server, Steve Robinson. *See Decl. Robinson* ¶ 1. Mr. Robinson is  
5 also a Private Investigator with more than 27 years of experience. *Id.* ¶ 2. As Mr.  
6 Robinson explains in his declaration, from November 2023 through January 2024,  
7 using nine different sources of information, he attempted to locate Mr. Capito. *Id.*  
8 ¶¶ 4-10. He made numerous attempts to serve Mr. Capito at multiple address  
9 locations. *Id.* He also attempted to locate and serve Mr. Capito using telephone,  
10 vehicle registration, and corporate filings. *Id.* He concluded “I have been unable to  
11 locate and serve the Defendant.” *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 11.

12 Plaintiffs have gone to considerable expense and been diligent in their  
13 efforts to locate and serve Defendant Capito. For the reasons below, they  
14 respectfully request that they be allowed to use alternative service by publication  
15 and that their time for service be extended in order to effect such alternative  
16 service.

17 //

18 //

19 PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

- Page 3



1 to avoid the service of a summons, or keeps himself or herself  
2 concealed therein with like intent....”

3 Stated more clearly, “service by publication is permissible when the plaintiff  
4 sets forth the following facts: (1) that the defendant could not be found in  
5 Washington after a diligent search, (2) that the defendant was a resident of  
6 Washington, and (3) that the defendant had either left the state or concealed  
7 himself within it, with intent to defraud creditors or avoid service of process.”  
8 *Pascua v. Heil*, 126 Wn. App. 520, 108 P.3d 1253 (2005).

9 A diligent search does not require that all conceivable methods of search are  
10 used. *Cherokee Bay Cmty. Club v. Bosshart*, 2021 WL 3291783 at \*2 (Wash. Ct.  
11 App. Aug. 2, 2021) (“[T]he person seeking service by publication must  
12 demonstrate that they conducted an honest and reasonable search ... [but] not all  
13 conceivable search methods are required.”). And with respect to a factual showing  
14 of intent to avoid service of process, “an affidavit or declaration offered in support  
15 of a motion for service by publication ‘must *clearly articulate facts* to meet the  
16 required conditions, not *clearly prove intent* to avoid service.” *Marlett v. Berkholtz*,  
17 2021 WL 4169159, at \*3 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 14, 2021) (citing *Boes v. Bisiar*, 122  
18 Wn. App. 569, 577, 94 P.3d 975 (2004) (citations omitted; emphasis in original)).

19 PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

1 This is because proof of such intent is not necessarily available. *See id.* (“Indeed,  
2 short of a full fact-finding hearing, a finding on what Mr. Bisiar knew or intended  
3 when he left the state is impossible.”)

4 Defendant Capito could not be found in Washington after a diligent search.  
5 Plaintiffs first hired a process service company to do a skip trace and serve Mr.  
6 Capito, and then retained the services of another process server who is also a  
7 seasoned private investigator with decades of experience. ECF No. 7; *Decl.*  
8 *Robinson*. Mr. Robinson used numerous sources of information to locate Mr.  
9 Capito, and made numerous attempts to serve him at multiple address locations. *Id.*  
10 ¶¶ 4-10. He attempted to locate and serve Mr. Capito using telephone, vehicle  
11 registration, and corporate filings. *Id.* He concluded that he was “unable to locate  
12 and serve the Defendant.” *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 11.

13 Defendant Capito was a resident of Washington. Washington residency may  
14 be established through things such as maintaining a residence in Washington; by  
15 having a Washington driver’s license; or by previously maintaining a residence in  
16 Washington for personal use and not establishing a permanent residence outside of  
17 Washington. *See e.g.*, RCW 46.16A.140; WAC 308-99-020(1).

18  
19 PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

1 Mr. Robinson’s declaration outlines reports/sources that show Defendant  
2 Capito has a Washington state driver’s license. *Decl. Robinson* ¶¶ 4-10. It shows  
3 that Mr. Capito has maintained residency in Washington over several years, and a  
4 search of numerous sources does not indicate the establishment of an actual  
5 permanent residence outside the state of Washington. *Id.* And it shows phone  
6 numbers associated with Mr. Capito with Washington area codes (206 and 425),  
7 one of which still directly rings but has not been answered when called. *Id.*

8 Defendant Capito has either left the state or concealed himself within it,  
9 with intent to avoid service of process. Again, Plaintiffs only need to “*clearly*  
10 *articulate facts* to meet the required conditions, not *clearly prove intent* to avoid  
11 service.” *Marlett*, 2021 WL 4169159, at \*3 (citing *Boes*, 122 Wn. App. at 577)  
12 (emphasis in original). This is sufficient when “facts...supporting an inference that  
13 the defendant concealed himself or herself within the state with the intent to avoid  
14 the service of a summons.” *U.S. v. Estate of Wise*, 2022 WL 17478465, at \*2  
15 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 7, 2022) (citing *Rodriguez v. James-Jackson*, 127 Wn. App.  
16 139, 141, 111 P.3d 271 (2005)). Plaintiffs have made a sufficient factual showing  
17 that supports this inference of Mr. Capito avoiding service of process, particularly  
18 with respect to concealing himself.

19 PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

1 Defendant Capito has changed his birthname at least three times, one or two  
2 of which came after the incidents alleged in the Complaint near the time of this  
3 lawsuit's inception. *Compl.* ¶ 11; *Decl. Robinson* ¶ 4. Mr. Capito has a documented  
4 history of using false identities and aliases to conceal himself. Mr. Capito is  
5 alleged to have gained unauthorized access to confidential information about the  
6 Plaintiffs by using a false identity. *Compl.* ¶ 4, 20, 22-23. Mr. Capito is alleged to  
7 use (and has used) aliases to hide his identity. *Compl.* ¶ 17.

8 Mr. Capito uses an address where he does not currently reside for his  
9 Washington driver's license. *Decl. Robinson* ¶ 7. Databases show various "current  
10 home addresses" in Washington for Mr. Capito despite Mr. Capito not currently  
11 residing at them. *Id.* One of Mr. Capito's "current home address" locations is for a  
12 storage facility. *Id.* Mr. Capito's use of a storage facility for a home address  
13 supports an inference of intent by Mr. Capito to conceal his true residence. In  
14 addition, calls to the 425 area-code phone number associated with Mr. Capito ring  
15 and go unanswered demonstrating further intent to avoid and conceal himself from  
16 service of process. *Id.* ¶ 9. All factors for service by publication are met.

17 RCW 4.28.110 provides the requirements for how to make a publication of a  
18 summons in Washington. Plaintiffs have attached to the Declaration of Christopher  
19 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)



1 Hogue an “Exhibit A,” which is a proposed Summons by Publication that complies  
2 with this requirement (and which amends to caption as requested and outlined in  
3 Part II.B below). Plaintiffs request publication in this form to be published in an  
4 approved newspaper in the county where the cause of action was brought. RCW  
5 4.28.110; RCW 65.16.040.

6 In summary, Plaintiffs have engaged two different process servers, one a  
7 private investigator, and have conducted thorough research themselves, but Mr.  
8 Capito has not been located for purposes of personal service. This, unfortunately, is  
9 not surprising. Mr. Capito has changed his legal name twice in the last two years  
10 and changed his residence many times. Moreover, as described in Plaintiffs’  
11 complaint, he has shown a propensity for deceitful and secretive actions. Plaintiffs,  
12 accordingly, respectfully request that their motion for service by publication be  
13 granted and that the attached Summons by Publication be approved.

14 **B. Amending Case Caption.**

15 Due to Defendant Capito’s continual name changes, his current name is  
16 often difficult to ascertain. Private Investigator Robinson identified that Mr. Capito  
17 most recently changed his name to Ryan Smith. *Decl. Robinson* ¶ 4. Plaintiffs  
18 request that this Court grant their motion to amend the case caption add another  
19 PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

1 “aka” name, this time including “aka Ryan Smith.” This change is requested in  
2 order to ensure that Mr. Capito’s rights are protected with the service by  
3 publication by including all of his known names, whether past or present.

4 **C. Extension of Time to Serve.**

5 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) provides that if the plaintiff shows good cause for a  
6 failure to serve a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court  
7 must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. In the Ninth Circuit,  
8 “[a]t a minimum, ‘good cause’ means excusable neglect.” *In re Sheehan*, 253 F.3d  
9 507, 512 (9th Cir. 2001) (quoting *Boudette v. Barnette*, 923 F.2d 754, 756 (9th Cir.  
10 1991)). Courts have also interpreted “good cause” to mean that “service has been  
11 attempted but not completed, that plaintiff was confused about the requirements of  
12 service, or that plaintiff was prevented from serving defendants by factors beyond  
13 his control.” *AF Holdings LLC v. Does 1-135*, 2012 WL 1038671, at \*3 (N.D. Cal.  
14 Mar. 27, 2012) (citation omitted).

15 In this case, good cause to extend the time for service exists. Plaintiffs have  
16 repeatedly and diligently attempted service but have currently been unable to  
17 locate Defendant Capito and perfect service. Also, based upon the averred name  
18 changes and Complaint allegations of Defendant Capito’s use of a false identity

19 PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

1 and aliases in the past, there are additional potential factors beyond Plaintiffs'  
2 control with completing personal service based upon the demonstrated inference  
3 that service is being avoided. As such, Plaintiffs request an additional 75 days to  
4 complete the service by publication, which will allow for enough time to retain the  
5 services of an appropriate newspaper and publish the summons for a period of six  
6 weeks and allow for the sixty-day time period for Defendant to appear to expire.

7 **III. CONCLUSION**

8 Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court approve service by publication  
9 and extend the deadline for service pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 4(m) by an appropriate  
10 period. Plaintiffs respectfully request this extension be an additional 75 days from  
11 a Court order granting service by publication in order to allow for sufficient time to  
12 ensure compliance with RCW 4.28.110.

13 DATED this 14th day of February 2024.

14 HOGUE LAW FIRM

15 /s/ Christopher M. Hogue

16 Christopher M. Hogue

17 WSBA #48041

18 Attorney for Plaintiffs

19 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

- Page 11

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**CM/ECF CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the date indicated below I caused an electronic copy of the foregoing document to be filed with the Clerk of the Court via CM/ECF system which will then send notification of such filing to all parties by operation of the Court’s electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court’s system.

**PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION, AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)**

1 DATED this 14th day of February 2024.

2 s/ Christopher M. Hogue  
3 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE  
20 SERVICE, TO AMEND CASE CAPTION,  
21 AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE  
PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)

- Page 13